G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Courts 17-20 Sl 1-3.
CB 36 -19[illegible] 60, 31 & 64

1920-21

(CB.).

File No. 81-20

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 4-6

Later references.

CB 305-18-142
CB 3-24-142
CB 3-13 (Notes
CB 76-15-135

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1, 2, 4, 5 and 6

Part B for November '20

SUBJECT.

Appointments, Transfers etc. of Sub-Judges

To,

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette.
No. 764/CB 81-20-6 dated 25.11.20.

Notifies the appointment of Mr. S. Venkata Krishnayya, I Munsiff as Subordinate Judge vice Mr. K. Lakshminarayanayya.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

2

C.B. 388

Subd.

1) I have herewith sub-d representation addressed to the Dewan to request favourable consideration

Madhavarao

9/10/20

Chief Secy

2) Sub-d to Dewan for perusal.

3) The case will be worked up and placed in due course before

4) Yes, this is 3rd member.

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

C. R. S. 11] 1920-21 Form

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(C.B.)

NOTES.

File No. 81-20

FILE HEADING.

Appointments, transfers &c of Subjudges

From

The Regr, Ch: Crt of Mysore

No. 20 Dated 8/10/1920 Received 13/10/1920

Diary No.	385	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

In connection with the vacancy that would be caused by the retirement of W. K Lakshminarasiah, Subjudge requests to be informed if it is the desire of Govt that Judges should make any recommendation as to his successor.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

5) Subt to 3rd Member

Init) C.S.B
10/10/1920

6) Yes. The recommendation of the Judges of the Chief Court may be called for

Init) M.H.H
14/10/1920

P.T.O.

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

CB File No. 81 of 1920

Serial No.	
3	The application of Mr. M. Ramaswamy, Bar-at-law for appointment as a Subjudge in the vacancy
7)	Draft D.O is subt
8)	HOM 18/10/1920
9)	Issue CSS 19/10/20
4	To the Registrar, Ch: Ct of Mysore
10)	D.O. No. 541 dt 18/10/1920 Regrets that the recommendation of the Judges for filling up the vacancy of a Subjudge's place may be obtained & forwarded & forwards the applns of Messrs. C. Mahadevayya & M. Ramaswamy

SW3

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—30-6-15

General and Reve... Secretariat. | 15 File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

5 From the Registrar, Chief Court

D.O. No. 25-Dy. 4/11/20

Notice that the Judges Consider that Mr. S. Venkata Krishnayya, 1) First Munsiff, Bangalore, is in every way the most qualified for appointment as Sub Judge both by merit and Seniority and also that if he is appointed as Sub Judge, Mr. T. Singaravelu Mudaliar, B.A. B.L., 2nd Grade Magistrate, Chittur, ~~as Munsiff~~ will be taken as Munsiff.

Submitted.

HM 9/11/20

12) The recommendation of the Ch. Ct. may perhaps be accepted.

3rd member. CSN 9/11

13) I agree that appt. of Mr. S. Venkata Krishnayya as Subordinate Judge. A vacancy in the Chief Court, he is best suited for that place both by reason of seniority and merit. He is one of the best Munsiffs and Assistant

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

Comm across. He is good both as a person & land and
post and possesses considerable administ ra-
tive ability. He is senior to Mr Mahadevayya
in his present line and I cannot but well
recommend his claim to supersession & the
claim of his prevance & Mr Mahadevayya does
not appear when both based on good grounds.
It refers to a time when he was practising
as a lawyer and it was open to both to
any one of the rival candidates to post
& municipal matters might have fallen
vacant at his time. Mr Mahadevayya
has expressed in his petition &
long and he cannot long will ask
his post to look matters right at his
distance & time. Had Mr Venkat.
Krishnayya been an independent
municipal I would not have hesitated
to recommend Mr Mahadevayya for
his sole person place - but Mr
Venkat Krishnayya being an influ-
entially placed municipal it will be
then expedient to allow him to work his
claim -

14) As for his appointment a municipal
in place & Mr Venkat Krishnayya, his
appointment is to take effect Mr Seshagiriveln

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D 28

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 288 of 191 | 6

Serial No.

had as present Special Magistrate Chase. post Wai Munsif's line. Mr is probably to be selected Wai wishes that post but a non-Brahmin should be appointed Munsif this line. But Mr Sanjarao has not put in 10 years service as a Special Magistrate and it is not desirable to send back a Munsif to this line unless he has put in his full term of service as a Magistrate -

15) If Mr Sanjarao is not to be transferred, I see no objection to appt Mr Venkatarao Narain Swami Naidu Pathi Prosecutor Bangalore as Munsif on Rs 200 a month He has been Munsif 11½ years in this/3 years service as Public Prosecutor & we may very well dispense with his services -

He has been Pub Prosr since 1-1-20 MK

16) If Mr Sanjarao is to be transferred back to his Munsif line, then I propose that Mr E. H. Nanson Dy Asst Collector (under Dr) be appointed Magistrate & Mr Sanjarao (under Dr) be appointed Munsif & Mr Nanson will have to revert if he does not pass his departmental examinations and this will be a suitable appt. when he has passed in his Judicial line:

maybe considered in Council

MK 9/11/20

17) MK

18) Dewan 16/11 Recd 5-30 pm 16-11-20

Recd 5-30 pm 10/11/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

19) Decided on enquiry subject MK 16/11

C 355

7

Extract from the Proceedings of the 547th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 19th November 1920. *19* .

199. Subject. - Clause v of the schedule.

Arrangements in connection with filling up the vacancy in the grade of Subordinate Judges, vice, Mr. Lakshminaranaiya ordered to be retired.

OPINION OF THE COUNCIL.

Mr. Venkatakrishnaiya may be appointed Subordinate Judge (acting) vice Mr. Lakshminaranaiya (under orders of retirement).

Consequential arrangements may be dealt with separately.

(Signed) M. Kantaraj Urs,

DEWAN OF MYSORE.

Note:-
Chief Court to be consulted re. appointment of Mr. Narayanaswami Naidu as Munsiff.

"EXTRACT"

C S Balasundaram

Chief Secretary to Government.

WD 433—GPB—1,000—1-9-20

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 19

Serial No.	
20	D. F. N. Sealed hm 20/11/20
	3rd. member)
	21) chg 24/11
22	Appd Sd/- 21/11
23	Draft Sanction Delivery chg 22/11/20
24	Issue chg 25/11/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Final Note by the Third Member of Council.

Subject:— Arrangements consequent on the retirement of Mr. K.Lakshminaranaiya, Subordinate Judge, Bangalore.

Mr. K. Lakshminaranaiya, Subordinate Judge, Bangalore has been ordered to be retired from the 23rd November 1920. The Judges of the Chief Court have recommended the following arrangements for filling up the vacancy.

(1). Mr. S. Venkatakrishnaiya, First Munsiff, Bangalore, to be appointed Subordinate Judge. The Judges consider that he is in every way most qualified for appointment as Subordinate Judge both by merit and seniority.

(2) If Mr. S.Venkatakrishnaiya is appointed as Subordinate Judge, the Judges propose to take back Mr. Sringaravelu Mudaliar, 2nd Grade Magistrate, Closepet as Munsiff.

2. When the papers came up to me I agreed to the appointment of Mr. S.Venkatakrishnaiya as Subordinate Judge. As regards the reversion of Mr. Sringaravelu Mudaliar I observed that he has not put in 3 years' service as a Magistrate and that it is not desirable to send him back as Munsiff.

Pages 4 to 6 of Office Notes

3. In connection with the appointment of Mr. B. Ramanna as Munsiff in July 1920 the Council observed that the next vacancy might be given to a Non-Brahmin if a suitable candidate be available. If Mr. Sringaravelu Mudaliar is not to be transferred as Munsiff, I suggested the appointment of Mr Narayanaswami Naidu, Public Prosecutor, Bangalore, as Munsiff

on Rs.200 a month.

4. The matter was considered in Council at the meeting held on the 19th November 1920 and the Council have resolved as follows:- Page 7 of Office Notes

"Mr. Venkatakrishnaiya may be appointed Subordinate Judge (acting) vice Mr. Lakshminaranaiya (under orders of retirement).

Consequential arrangements may be dealt with separately."

5. The recommendation of the Council may be approved.

6. About the appointment of Mr. Narayanaswami Naidu as Munsiff the Chief Court will be consulted and proposals will be submitted shortly.

MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

4

Submitted to His Highness.

***

I submit herewith a Final Note by the Third Member of Council on the subject of arrangements consequent on the retirement of Mr. K. Lakshminaranaiya, Subordinate Judge, Bangalore, for His Highness' gracious approval.

22-Nov. 1920

28

C 355

12

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Appointment of Mr. S. Venkata-krishnaiya as acting Subordinate Judge, Bangalore.

621

Order.

~~KRW~~

23/11/20

C.L. Lig

24-11-20

CB.

Draft please

25/11/20

370-92.
4.12.20 Secy

Rec'd
7.12.20

13

[redacted] CIRCULATED FOR PERUSAL.

OF HIS HIGHNESS'S ORDERS

AFTER ISSUE.

D0.20.

CB 388

CB 81-20-1.

CONFIDENTIAL.

Chief Court of Mysore,

Bangalore, 8th October 1920.

DEAR SIR,

With reference to your D.O. No.496/C.B. dated the 6th October 1920 requesting that necessary actinn may be taken to arrange for the relief of Mr.K.Lakshminaranaiya, Subordinate Judge on the due date of his retirement, I am desired to state that the Judges will arrange for his relief on the due date, but I am to ask if it is the desire of Government that the Judges should make any recommendation as to his successor.

Yours sincerely,

C.S.BALASUNDARAM IYER, ESQ., B.A.,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore,

15

CB 388

CB 81-20-2

To

RAJASEVADHURINA
SIRDAR M. KANTARAJ URS, B.A., C.S.I.,

THROUGH

The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

RESPECTED SIR,

I beg to submit the following representation for your sympathetic and gracious consideration :—

As Mr. M. Lakshminaraniah, Sub-Judge, is about to retire in November 1920, a vacancy will occur and I beg to urge my claims for the post of a Sub-Judge for favour of consideration in filling up the said vacancy. The last two vacancies of Sub-Judges were given to Munsiffs, *viz.*, Messrs. T. Krishna Iyengar and G. Satyaji Rao, and for the above vacancy Assistant Commissioners are eligible under the provisions of Rule XIV of the Civil Service Rules, dated 6th January 1913. I would submit that the recent abrogation of the subsidiary rule under G.O. No. C. B. 1700-61, dated 18th May 1920, does not affect the question and Assistant Commissioners are not thereby precluded from being appointed Sub-Judges if, in the opinion of the Government, they are otherwise competent to hold such posts. Besides, it is understood that at the time of the appointment of Mr. Hiriyannaiya as Sub-Judge, the Government have accepted the principle that only such of the Assistant Commissioners as have practised at the Bar or have worked as Munsiffs should alone be appointed as Sub-Judges. I have practised at the Bar and also worked as Munsiff for more than 10 years, and there is no other Assistant Commissioner who satisfies the above conditions and I beg to request that my claims may be favourably considered in accordance with the above principle.

II. When I was selected to the Civil Service in 1916 I was a Senior Munsiff and I lost the rank which I had earned in the Judicial Department by having aspired to work in the executive line, unlike Messrs. Mylar Rao, Ananda Rao Sirsi, Jayaram Iyer and Hiriannaiya who did not lose their rank by a similar change, and I was told that the Civil Service Rules did not allow of my being given my corresponding rank among the Assistant Commissioners. My request for being treated in the same way as Mr. G. Sreenivasa Iyer was also not considered. In my representation, dated 6th February 1920, I requested Government to be so good as to appoint me as Sub-Judge under subsidiary rule (ii) of Rule XIV of the same Civil Service Rules, but the Government were pleased to give the vacancy again to a Munsiff contrary to the said rule and to subsequently abrogate it in G.O., dated 18th May 1920. The benefit of an existing rule was not given to me as was done in the case of Mr. Hiriannaiya, and for a second time in my official career my interests were affected by a change of existing rules. It will thus be seen that, though the change to the General line was the means of getting fresh increments and promotions in the case of others, it has in my case placed me in a reverse position. It has made me suffer from all the disabilities of certain rules without at the same time allowing the benefit due to me under the express provisions of the same rules, and I leave it to you to judge whether my case is not one of hardship and whether it does not deserve sympathetic consideration.

III. In regard to the question of seniority between Mr. S. Venkatakrishniah and myself, I beg to submit that I have never admitted Mr. Venkatakrishniah to be my senior.

16

On the other hand, I was senior in service and Mr. Venkatakrishniah was appointed for the first time more than four months after I was appointed Munsiff, and I beg to request you to kindly take the following facts into consideration in arriving at a decision :—

(1) After I passed my B.L. Examination I had arranged to practise at Bellary in the Madras Presidency. Sir P. N. Krishnamurti, who was then Dewan, dissuaded me from leaving Mysore and nominated me as a Judicial Probationer in 1901 and advised me to await the receipt of orders. Years rolled on and no orders were received; and on 27th February 1905 when I had a few days more to complete my 30th year, which was the age limit fixed for a Munsiff's appointment, I approached His Highness the Maharaja with a memorial as a last chance before going over to Bellary. My memorial was viewed with favour. I was advised not to leave the country and was assured of a Munsiff's post before the completion of my 30th year, and the understanding was that the first vacancy was to be given to me. But somehow or other, a *temporary* vacancy of only 26 days was given to me (from 9th March to 5th April 1905 at Mysore), and within 15 days after Mr. Ananda Rao Sirsi, who was Munsiff at Serangapatam, was taken to the Revenue line and this *permanent* vacancy was given to Mr. G. Satyaji Rao, though he was ineligible under the rules having passed the prescribed age limit. He was appointed on 9—4—1905 whereas the age rule was changed three months after under G. O. No. J. 159-160/C. 258-6, dated 12th July 1905.

(2) The next acting vacancy occurred at Yedehalli (Narasimharajapura) on 29th May 1905 and it was offered to me. Believing that the next permanent vacancy at least would be given to me I accepted it and in so doing I had to transfer the sensational Davangere rioting case, which was in my hands, to another Advocate (Mr. Garudachar of Shimoga) thereby sustaining a loss of Rs. 1,500 in the shape of fees. At that time the Munsiff's Court at Yedehalli was full of troubles. The whole Government money had been misappropriated, leaving the Court Treasury empty, for getting up a Dramatic Company organized mostly by the officials of the Court. Important records had been destroyed and the signatures of parties and pleaders had been forged in the Cash Register. The members of the Dramatic Company were responsible for every irregularity in the Court and they had assumed a lawless and defiant attitude, and it is no exaggeration to state that I was faced with a situation the like of which has not been experienced by any other officer in the Judicial Department. In spite of very great opposition I successfully detected the embezzlement, recovered the whole amount embezzled and broke up the official combination of the Dramatic Company amidst threats of personal violence and even murder. How courageously and conscientiously I discharged my duties at very great personal risk can be seen from the report sent to the Chief Court by the then District Judge (Mr. S. R. Subbaraya Iyer) whom I had invited for inspecting the Court, and I beg to invite kind reference to the following appreciation of the Chief Judge in this connection :—

"Mr. Mahadevaiya. He has lately been officiating at Yedehalli and shown himself an excellent officer in my opinion. He did particularly well in at once examining his office and detecting a fraud in the accounts, saving Government from a considerable loss at the time and a greater probable loss in the future." Vide *Extract furnished by Mr. E. Maconochie, I.C.S., Private Secretary to H.H. The Maharaja, from D.O. letter from the Chief Judge, dated 1st February 1906.*

In recognition of my work at Yedehalli for nearly 8½ months (29-5-05 to

10-2-1906) I naturally expected to be given the next vacancy of six months in Mysore from 1st March 1906 which was practically a permanent vacancy and to which I had a prior claim. But seniority and good work and even the assurance given as the result of my memorial to His Highness did not count, and somehow or other, the vacancy was given to Mr. Venkatakrishniah, my junior in service, and it was this appointment which enabled him to become my senior.

(3) Again, for a third time, when there was a permanent vacancy on 1st July 1906, the Chief Court had very strongly recommended me for it, but again it was not given to me.

IV. It may be said that all this is past history. But in order to properly understand the present it often becomes necessary to make a reference to the past, and I beg to submit that this is one of such instances. The question involved is an important one affecting, as it does, the relative claims of two candidates for one appointment and it deserves to be investigated in the interests of justice. Instances are not wanting in which Government have been pleased to enter into such questions with a view to rectify past omissions and I venture to submit that there is nothing to preclude Government from entering into this question at the present opportunity on considerations of justice. I am not requesting Government to re-open the question for the purpose of placing me above Mr. Venkatakrishniah in the line of Munsiffs. My submission is that since the question involved is one of selection to a Sub-Judge's appointment, which under the rules has to be made entirely by Government, my claims may be kindly considered in preference to those of Mr. Venkatakrishniah as I am really the senior for the reasons set forth above.

V. Again, if good work counts for anything, I beg to request the Government to kindly take into consideration my hard work at Serangapatam. It is the heaviest Court in the Province and land disputes are known to be very complicated chiefly on account of the rich wet lands within its jurisdiction, and it may be stated without fear of contradiction that Munsiffs are, as a rule, unwilling to go to Serangapatam for obvious reasons. It may be added in this connection that Mr. Venkatakrishniah had been transferred to Serangapatam and it is understood that he was unwilling to go there and the transfer was cancelled. At the time when I was sent to Serangapatam the file in the Court was exceptionally heavy and congested and the Chief Judge was of opinion that it was not possible for any one Munsiff to cope with the work and had also recommended the appointment of an additional Munsiff for clearing off arrears. In fact, I welcomed the transfer as a compliment and both the District Judges, who had recommended me for Serangapatam, knew this. I showed no hesitation to go there though I had worked before in three out of the four Malnad Courts in the Province unlike any other Munsiff, and though Serangapatam is known to be worse than the worst Malnad in point of climate. On the other hand, I put my heart and soul into the work with a view to wipe off the arrears as early as possible to justify the selection made by the Chief Judge. I had arranged to go to the office before 9-30 every morning and work in the Court continuously till 6 or 6-30 P. M., and in the course of 12 months I cleared all the arrears and removed the necessity for the opening of an additional Court. It has to be added that this result was achieved only at the sacrifice of my health as can be seen from the report of the District Medical Officer, Mysore, who actually declined to pass me for further insurance of which Mr. Venkatakrishniah, who happened to be examined at the same time, is also aware.

VI. I have already placed before Government in my representation, dated 6th February 1920, copies of appreciative remarks made by the then District Judge (Mr. Justice Plumer) in this connection, and I have reasons to believe that Government is not unaware of my good work at Serangapatam. It has to be submitted that a man's capacity and fitness have to be tested only when he is placed in positions of exceptional

18

difficulty, and it requires no outstanding ability to run an office in its normal condition when the work ordinarily partakes of a routine character. What I beg to submit is that a man who has been tried in the heaviest Court in the Province should, in all fairness, be allowed to claim a point of preference over another who showed his unwillingness to work in such a Court when an opportunity was offered to him.

The account of my work at Yedehalli and Serangapatam has made my representation rather long, but it was unavoidable and I request your indulgence in this respect.

VII. As regards my character, capacity and legal attainments, I beg to refer the Government to the confidential reports forwarded by the late Chief Justice Sir Stanley Ismay, and to the opinion furnished to Government by the present Chief Judge, Sir Leslie C. Miller, at the time of my selection to the Secretariat in 1916.

VIII. I have passed the F.A. Examination in the first class and won a State Scholarship. I have also taken special prizes in the B.A. classes of the Maharaja's College and headed the list for the Mysore Province in the F.L. Examination of the Madras University.

IX. Lastly, I may be permitted to submit that the liberal policy of Government which ensures a fair representation of all communities, and particularly backward communities, in the Public Service seems worthy of application in the case of appointments of Sub-Judges. The principle is recognised in the case of all appointments including those of Assistant Commissioners and Munsiffs, and selections from among those in the service are also made to higher appointments in recognition of this principle. If this view commends itself I beg to request that the benefit of the above policy may be kindly extended to me as a member of a backward community. Messrs. B. Basavaradhya and R. Puttanna are about to be retired at no distant date and there is no officer in the higher ranks of service to represent my community, and this aspect of the case also seems to deserve some consideration.

Under these circumstances, I most respectfully beg to request you to kindly take the above general and special grounds into consideration and to be pleased to appoint me as Sub-Judge on the retirement of Mr. M. Lakshminaraniah in November 1920.

For this act of kindness, I shall ever feel grateful.

I beg to remain,

Respected Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Dated -10-1920.

THE BANGALORE PRESS, MYSORE ROAD, BANGALORE CITY.

19 CB

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 81/20

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to———

No. 514
CB

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

———

Date of issue 18/8/20

Signed by Mr C. Balasundaram
Dy S.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O. 20 of 8th Oct 1920 in regard to the vacancy that would be caused in the grade of Sub Judges by the retirement of Mr K Lakshminarasaiya I am desired to request that the recommendation of the Judges of the Ch: Ct. may be kindly obtained for filling up the said vacancy & forwarded to Govt.

2. In this connection I am desired to forward herewith for consideration

over.

SUBJECT.

20

of the Judges the applications of Messrs.

P. Mahadevayya, Asst Secy to Govt &

of Mr. M. Ramaswamy Bar-at-law

yours Sincerely

C.S.

G. Srinivasa Iyer Esqre B.A. M.L.,

Registrar, Ch: Court of Mysore

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8-8-11.

21

Do.No.25

24. CB. 81-20-5

CONFIDENTIAL

CHIEF COURT.

CB. Please peruse & submit

Bangalore.

4 th November 1920

Dear Sir,

With reference to your D.O.No.541 CB/ 84 -20-4 dated 19thOctober 1920, requesting the recommendation of the Judges for filling up the vacancy that will be caused in the grade of Subjudges by the retirement of Mr.K.Lakshminarayania and forwarding for consideration the applicationsof Mr.P.Mahadevayya and Mr.M.Ramaswamy, I am desired to state that the Judges consider that Mr.S.Venkatakrishnaya, First Munsiff, Bangalore, is in every way the most qualified for appointment as Subjudge- both by personal merit and by seniority.

I am also to state that if Mr.S.Venkatakrishnaya is appointed Subjudge, the Judges propose to take Mr.T.Singaruvelu Mudaliyar B.A. B.L., Second Grade Magistrate, Closepet, as Munsiff, and issue necessary orders for consequential postings.

Yours Sincerely,

R Srinivasa Iyer

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.

Chief Secretary to theGovernment of Mysore

27

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 81/20

Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

ISSUED.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190

Date of issue 25th Nov. 1920

Signed by Mr. C. S. Balasundaram Iyer. BA.,

No. 764

Notification

To

Mr. S. Venkatakrishnaiya BA., BL., First Munsiff, Bangalore is appointed to act as Subordinate Judge vice, Mr. K. Lakshminarayya BA., retired.

By order.

CSX 25/11/20

Chief Secy. to Govt.

To the Comptroller, Mysore

Gazette for publication in the Gazette.

765 / CD. 81-206 Dt 25/11/20 Copy to the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

766 / CB. 81-206 Dt 25/11/20 Copy to the Comptroller

over

SUBJECT.

25/11/20